Engagement Policy Implementation Statement ("EPIS")

Wandel and Goltermann Retirement Benefits Scheme (the "Scheme")

Scheme Year End – 5 April 2024

The purpose of the EPIS is for us, the Trustee Directors of the Wandel and Goltermann Retirement Benefits Scheme, to explain what we have done during the year ending 5 April 2024 to achieve certain policies and objectives set out in the Statement of Investment Principles ("SIP"). It includes:

- 1. How our policies in the SIP about asset stewardship (including both voting and engagement activity) in relation to the Scheme's investments have been followed during the year; and
- 2. How we have exercised our voting rights or how these rights have been exercised on our behalf, including the use of any proxy voting advisory services, and the 'most significant' votes cast over the reporting year.

Our conclusion

Based on the activity we have undertaken during the year, we believe that the policies set out in the SIP have been implemented effectively.

In our view, most of the Scheme's material investment managers were able to disclose good evidence of voting and engagement activity, and the activities completed by our managers align with our stewardship expectations.

How voting and engagement policies have been followed

The Scheme is invested entirely in pooled funds, and so the responsibility for voting and engagement is delegated to the Scheme's investment managers, which is in line with the policies set out in our SIP. We reviewed the stewardship activity of the material investment managers carried out over the Scheme year and in our view, most of the investment managers were able to disclose good evidence of voting and/or engagement activity. More information on the stewardship activity carried out by the Scheme's investment managers can be found in the following sections of this report.

Over the reporting year, we monitored the performance of the Scheme's investments on a quarterly basis and received updates on important issues from our investment adviser, Aon Investments Limited ("Aon"). In particular, we received quarterly ESG ratings from Aon for the funds the Scheme is invested in where available.

What is stewardship?

Stewardship is investors using their influence over current or potential investees/issuers, policy makers, service providers and other stakeholders to create longterm value for clients and beneficiaries leading to sustainable benefits for the economy, the environment and society.

This includes prioritising which Environmental Social Governance ("ESG") issues to focus on, engaging with investees/issuers, and exercising voting rights.

Differing ownership structures means stewardship practices often differ between asset classes.

Source: UN PRI

During the year we agreed and updated our stewardship policies in the SIP, ensuring compliance with the updated regulations.

The Scheme's stewardship policy can be found in the SIP: <u>https://pensioninformation.aon.com/wandelandgoltermann</u>

Aon's engagement activity

We invest some of the Scheme's assets in Aon's Managed Growth strategy. This is a fund of funds arrangement, where Aon selects the underlying investment managers on our behalf.

We delegate monitoring of ESG integration and stewardship of the underlying managers to Aon. We have reviewed Aon's latest annual Stewardship Report and we believe it shows that Aon is using its resources to effectively influence positive outcomes in the funds in which it invests.

Over the year, Aon held several engagement meetings with many of the underlying managers in its strategies. Aon discussed ESG integration, stewardship, climate, biodiversity and modern slavery with the investment managers. Aon provided feedback to the managers after these meetings with the aim of improving the standard of ESG integration across its portfolios.

Over the year, Aon engaged with the industry through white papers, working groups, webinars and network events, as well as responding to multiple consultations.

In 2021, Aon committed to achieve net zero emissions by 2050, with a 50% reduction by 2030 for its fully delegated clients' portfolios and defined contribution default strategies (relative to baseline year of 2019).

Aon also successfully renewed its signatory status to the 2020 UK Stewardship Code.

Our managers' voting activity

Good asset stewardship means being aware and active on voting issues, corporate actions and other responsibilities tied to owning a company's stock. We believe that good stewardship is in the members' best interests to promote best practice and encourage investee companies to access opportunities, manage risk appropriately, and protect shareholders' interests. Understanding and monitoring the stewardship that investment managers practice in relation to the Scheme's investments is an important factor in deciding whether a manager remains the right choice for the Scheme.

Voting rights are attached to listed equity shares, including equities held in multi-asset funds. We expect the Scheme's equity-owning investment managers to responsibly exercise their voting rights.

Voting statistics

The table below shows the voting statistics for each of the Scheme's material funds with voting rights for the year to 31 March 2024.

Number of % of votes % of votes % of resolutions resolutions Funds abstained against eligible to vote voted management from on LGIM - World Emerging 33,716 99.9% 0.9% 19.0% Markets Equity Index Fund LGIM - Global Equity Fixed 39.303 99.8% 18.1% 0.1% Weights (60:40) Index Fund Underlying managers in Aon's Managed Growth Strategy LGIM - Multi-Factor Equity 0.2% 12.190 99.8% 21.1% Fund UBS - Emerging Market Equity Climate Transition 1,653 85.6% 20.7% 0.1% Fund UBS - Global Equity Climate 95.0% 12.4% 0.1% 12,343 Transition Fund

Source: Managers. Please note that the 'abstain' votes noted above are a specific category of vote that has been cast and are distinct from a non-vote.

Use of proxy voting advisers

Many investment managers use proxy voting advisers to help them fulfil their stewardship duties. Proxy voting advisers provide recommendations to institutional investors on how to vote at shareholder meetings on issues such as climate change, executive pay and board composition. They can also provide voting execution, research, record keeping and other services.

Responsible investors will dedicate time and resources towards making their own informed decisions, rather than solely relying on their adviser's recommendations.

The table below describes how the Scheme's managers use proxy voting advisers.

Why is voting important?

Voting is an essential tool for listed equity investors to communicate their views to a company and input into key business decisions. Resolutions proposed by shareholders increasingly relate to social and environmental issues.

Source: UN PRI

Why use a proxy voting adviser?

Outsourcing voting activities to proxy advisers enables managers that invest in thousands of companies to participate in many more votes than they would without their support.

Managers	Description of use of proxy voting advisers (in the managers' own words)		
LGIM	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in accordance with our position on ESG, we have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions.		
UBS Global Asset Management	UBS retains the services of Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) for the physical exercise of voting rights and for supporting voting research. UBS retain full discretion when determining how to vote at shareholder meetings.		

Source: Managers

Significant voting examples

To illustrate the voting activity being carried out on our behalf, we asked the Scheme's investment managers to provide a selection of what they consider to be the most significant votes in relation to the Scheme's funds. A sample of these significant votes can be found in the appendix.

Our managers' engagement activity

Engagement is when an investor communicates with current (or potential) investee companies (or issuers) to improve their ESG practices, sustainability outcomes or public disclosure. Good engagement identifies relevant ESG issues, sets objectives, tracks results, maps escalation strategies and incorporates findings into investment decision-making.

The table below shows some of the engagement activity carried out by the Scheme's material managers. The managers have provided information for the most recent calendar year available. Some of the information provided is at a firm-level i.e. is not necessarily specific to the funds invested in by the Scheme.

Funds	Number of engagements		Themes encound on sta fund lovel
runus	Fund level	Firm level	Themes engaged on at a fund level
LGIM - World Emerging Markets Equity Index Fund	235	2,500	Environment - Climate Impact Pledge; Deforestation; Climate Change Governance - LGIM ESG Score Other - Corporate Strategy
LGIM - Global Equity Fixed Weights (60:40) Index Fund	734	2,500	Environment - Climate Impact Pledge; Climate Change Governance - Remuneration; Board Composition Strategy, Financial & Reporting - Corporate Strategy
LGIM - Overseas Bonds Fund *	Not provided	2,500	Environment* - Climate Change; Deforestation Social* - Ethnic Diversity Governance* - Remuneration Other* - Strategy
LGIM – Active Corporate Bond Fund	62	2,500	Environment - Climate Change Governance - Remuneration; Board Composition; Nominations & Succession Other - Corporate Strategy
Underlying managers in Ao	n's Managed Gro	wth Strategy	
Abrdn – Climate Transition Bond Fund	101	2,008	Environment - Climate; Social - Labour Management; Corporate Behaviour Governance - Corporate Governance;
Aegon - European ABS Fund	127	528	Environment - Climate Change Governance - Board Effectiveness - Diversity; Leadership - Chair/CEO; Remuneration Other - General Disclosure

LGIM – Multi-Factor Equity Fund	296	2,500	Environment - Climate Impact Pledge; Climate Change Social - Gender Diversity Governance - Remuneration; Board Composition
UBS – Global Equity Climate Transition Fund	183	471	Environment - Climate Change Social - Human Capital Management Governance - Remuneration; Board Effectiveness - Independence/Oversight Strategy, Financial & Reporting - Capital Allocation
UBS – Emerging Markets Equity Climate Transition Fund	28	471	Environment - Climate Change Social - Human and Labour Rights; Human Capital Management Governance - Remuneration Strategy, Financial & Reporting - Capital Allocation
Robeco – Sustainable Development Goals ("SDG") Credit Income Fund	17	319	Environment - Climate Change; Natural Resource Use/Impact Social - Human and Labour Rights Governance - Board Effectiveness - Other Other - SDG Engagement

Source: Managers. Brd eff. refers to Board effectiveness.

*LGIM did not provide fund-level themes for the Overseas Bonds Fund; themes provided are at a firm-level.

Data limitations

LGIM provided a comprehensive list of fund-level engagements, which we find encouraging, but it did not provide detailed engagement examples specific to the fund in which we are invested. Also, the manager did not provide fund-level engagement data for the Overseas Bonds Fund.

This report does not include commentary on certain asset classes such as fixed income or cash because of the limited materiality of stewardship to these asset classes. Further, this report does not include the additional voluntary contributions ("AVCs") due to the relatively small proportion of the Scheme's assets that are held as AVCs.

Appendix – Significant Voting Examples

In the table below are some significant vote examples provided by the Scheme's managers. We consider a significant vote is one which the voting manager deems to be significant or a vote where more than 15% of votes were cast against management. Managers use a wide variety of criteria to determine what they consider a significant vote, some of which are outlined in the examples below:

LGIM - Multi-	Company name	Activision Blizzard, Inc.
Factor Equity	Date of vote	21 June 2023
Fund	Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)	0.4
	Summary of the resolution	Resolution 5 - Submit Severance Agreement (Change-in- Control) to Shareholder Vote
	How you voted?	Votes supporting resolution
	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
	Rationale for the voting decision	Shareholder Resolution - Shareholder rights: A vote FOR this proposal is warranted. The proposal is not an outright ban on the acceleration of awards or other severance arrangements; rather, future agreements that exceed the severance basis would likely require shareholder ratification. Further, the proposal only applies to future severance arrangements and therefore would not impact all severance programs that are currently in place.
	Outcome of the vote	Fail
	Implications of the outcome e.g were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome?	LGIM will continue to monitor the board's response to the relatively high level of support received for this resolution.
	On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant?	High Profile meeting: This shareholder resolution is considered significant due to the relatively high level of support received.
UBS - Emerging	Company name	Ganfeng Lithium Group Co. Ltd.
Markets Equity	Date of vote	30 November 2023
Climate Transition Fund	Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)	Not provided
	Summary of the resolution	Approve Adoption of the 2023 Employee Stock Ownership Plan
	How you voted?	Votes against resolution
	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	No
	Rationale for the voting decision	Full details for the plan and associated proposals have not been disclosed.
	Outcome of the vote	Pass
	Implications of the outcome e.g were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the	We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.
	outcome? On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most	36% of shareholders voted against the plan and associated proposals.

UBS - Global	Company name	The Boeing Company
Equity Climate	Date of vote	18 April 2023
Transition Fund	Approximate size of	
	fund's/mandate's holding as at	Not provided
	the date of the vote (as % of	
	portfolio) Summary of the resolution	Poport on Climato Lobbying
	How you voted?	Report on Climate Lobbying Votes supporting resolution
	Where you voted against	
	management, did you	
	communicate your intent to the	Company not advised prior to meeting
	company ahead of the vote?	
	Rationale for the voting	The proposal would further enable shareholders to determine
	decision	the strength of company policy, strategy and actions in regard
	Outcome of the vete	to climate change. Fail
	Outcome of the vote Implications of the outcome e.g	Fail
	were there any lessons learned	
	and what likely future steps will	Following the significant support for this proposal we shall be
	you take in response to the	monitoring the next steps from the company.
	outcome?	
	On which criteria have you	40% of votes cast were in support of this shareholder
	assessed this vote to be most	proposal.
LGIM - World	significant? Company name	Sasol Ltd.
Emerging Markets	Date of vote	19 January 2024
Equity Index Fund	Approximate size of	
- Jenty Material and	fund's/mandate's holding as at	
	the date of the vote (as $\%$ of	0.1
	portfolio)	
	Summary of the resolution	Approve Climate Change Report
	How you voted?	Votes against resolution
	Where you voted against	LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its
	management, did you	website with the rationale for all votes against management. I is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the
	communicate your intent to the	three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited
	company ahead of the vote?	to shareholder meeting topics.
		Climate change: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects
		companies to introduce credible transition plans, consistent
	Rationale for the voting	with the Paris goals of limiting the global average temperature
	decision	increase to 1.5°C. This includes the disclosure of scope 1, 2
		and material scope 3 GHG emissions and short-, medium- an long-term GHG emissions reduction targets consistent with th
		1.5°C goal.
	Outcome of the vote	Pass
	Implications of the outcome e.g	
	were there any lessons learned	LGIM will continue to engage with our investee companies,
	and what likely future steps will	publicly advocate our position on this issue and monitor
	you take in response to the outcome?	company and market-level progress.
		Thematic - Climate: LGIM is publicly supportive of so called
		"Say on Climate" votes. We expect transition plans put forwar
	On which criteria have you	by companies to be both ambitious and credibly aligned to a
	assessed this vote to be most significant?	1.5°C scenario. Given the high-profile nature of such votes,
	signineant?	LGIM deem such votes to be significant, particularly when
	_	LGIM votes against the transition plan.
LGIM - Global Equity Fixed	Company name	Shell Plc
	Date of vote	23 May 2023
Waighte (60.10)		
Weights (60:40) Index Fund	Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at	4.2

portfolio)			
Summary of the resolution	Resolution 25 - Approve the Shell Energy Transition Progress		
How you voted?	Votes against resolution		
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.		
Rationale for the voting decision	Climate change: A vote against is applied, though not without reservations. We acknowledge the substantial progress made by the company in meeting its 2021 climate commitments and welcome the company's leadership in pursuing low carbon products. However, we remain concerned by the lack of disclosure surrounding future oil and gas production plans and targets associated with the upstream and downstream operations; both of these are key areas to demonstrate alignment with the 1.5C trajectory.		
Outcome of the vote	Pass		
Implications of the outcome e.g were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome?	LGIM continues to undertake extensive engagement with Shell on its climate transition plans.		
On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant?	Thematic - Climate: LGIM is publicly supportive of so called "Say on Climate" votes. We expect transition plans put forward by companies to be both ambitious and credibly aligned to a 1.5C scenario. Given the high-profile of such votes, LGIM deem such votes to be significant, particularly when LGIM votes against the transition plan.		

Source: Managers